

A good working definition of quantum mechanics is that things are the exact opposite of what you thought they were. Empty space is full, particles are waves, and cats can be both alive and dead at the same time. Recently a group of physicists studied another quantum head spinner. You might innocently think that when a particle rolls across a tabletop and reaches the edge, it will fall off. Sorry. In fact, a quantum particle under the right conditions stays on the table and rolls back.

This effect is the converse of the well-known (if no less astounding) phenomenon of quantum tunneling. If you kick a soccer ball up a hill too slowly, it will come back down. But if you kick a quantum particle up a hill at the same speed, it can make it up and over. The particle will have "tunneled" across (although no actual tunnel is involved). This process explains how particles can escape atomic nuclei, causing radioactive alpha decay. And it is the basis of many electronic devices.

In tunneling, the particle can do something the ball never does. Conversely, the particle might not do something the ball always does. If you kick a soccer ball toward the edge of a cliff, it will always fall off. But if you kick a particle toward the edge, it can bounce back to you. The particle is like one of those little toy robots that senses the edge of a table or staircase and reverses course, except that the particle has no internal mechanism to pull off its stunt. It naturally does the exact opposite of what the forces acting on it would indicate. The researchers behind the analysis—Pedro L. Garrido of the University of Granada in Spain, Jani Lukkarinen of the University of Helsinki, and Sheldon Goldstein and Roderich Tumulka, both at Rutgers University—call this phenomenon "antitunneling."

In both cases, the explanation lies in the wave nature of particles, which in turn reflects the fact that a quantum particle generally has an ambiguous location. The wave describes the range of locations where it could be found. This wave behaves much like ordinary waves such as sound. Whenever any wave encounters a barrier that is not absolutely rigid, some of the wave will penetrate into the barrier, albeit with diminishing intensity. If the barrier is not too thick, the wave can reemerge on the other side. That is analogous to tunneling.

ALSO IN THIS ISSUE OF SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN	For antitunneling, the analogy is that whenever any wave encounters any abrupt change
<ul> <li>News Scan</li> <li>The Science of Finding a Face in the Crowd</li> </ul>	Something similar happens when a scuba diver looks up and sees the sea surface acting as a mirror. To be sufficiently abrupt, the distance over which conditions change must be shorter than the wavelength (which for a particle is related to momentum). If the change is too gradual,
<ul> <li>News Scan Turf Battles: Politics Interfere with</li> </ul>	the wave will simply go along, and the particle will act like a soccer ball after all.
Species Identification	Garrido and his colleagues undertook a numerical analysis to rule out the possibility that
Letters to the Editors     Readers Respond on "Facing the     Freshwater Crisis"	the phenomenon was an artifact of idealized assumptions. They also calculated how long a particle will tend to roll around the table before going over the edge; it gets longer the higher the table is. David Griffiths of Reed College, author of a widely used introductory quantum mechanics textbook (the second edition of which gives a version of antitunneling as a student exercise), calls it "a very sweet paradox" Physicist Frank Wilczek of the

Massachusetts Institute of Technology says, "It's a solid analysis, and it points out an interesting phenomenon I hadn't been consciously aware of."

Antitunneling might have applications for building laboratory particle traps, describing nuclear decay or exploring the foundations of quantum mechanics, but its main appeal is to remind physicists how a nearly century-old theory has lost none of its capacity to surprise.

Note: This article was originally published with the title, "Quantum Brinkmanship".

BUY THIS DIGITAL ISSUE DOWNLOAD IT NOW!

# **DISCUSS THIS ARTICLE**

13 Comments Click here to submit your comment.

VIEW:

1-10 | <mark>11-13</mark> |

• tharriss at 08:29 AM on 11/20/08

http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=new-quantum-weirdness (2 of 10) [24/11/2008 15:39:19]

Very cool!

### • Assegai at 01:03 PM on 11/20/08

how will this affect philosophy and how we think of the universe, incredible

Reply | Report Abuse

Reply | Report

Abuse

Abuse

### ChrisJones at 03:46 PM on 11/20/08

Why would I automatically assume that a particle rolled to the edge of a table would "fall off?" From the particle's "point of view" what, exactly, would constitute "off" or "fall?" Looking upon all things as residing within our very limited perceptual framework is hardly "innocent." At best it is arrogant, at worst, ignorant. Quantum mechanics IS strange and bizarre, but it isn't precisely the "opposite" of every thing one, in his or her narrow perception, assumes to be the absolute truth. The cat is neither both dead and alive at the same time, nor alive and dead a the same time. We can't even be sure there even IS a cat in the box, or what color it is...this amazing cat is dead and alive and present and not present AND every possible color... male and female.... so the reality is very much stranger than a simple 'black is white' dichotomy. Opposite would be easy! Sesame Street plays 'one of these things doesn't belong here.' The same game with QM is much more complicated.... and, of course, simpler at the same time. Interesting article though... poor start... but interesting.

	Reply   Re	eport
• H at 04:19 PM on 11/20/08	Abuse	
The following is a direct response to this comment.		
Thanks DeCarte.		
	Reply I R	eport

#### • mrsaturn42 at 07:15 PM on 11/20/08

I am very disappointed in sci-am lately. First the "Nature breaks the second law of thermo" article adn now this!? They are putting too much "weirdness" into quantum mechanics so that laypeople will never understand it.

I feel like sci-am makes philosophy/English grads read physics textbooks and write something interesting about very simple concepts.

The thing about a particle and a ball is that a particle has the wave/particle duality.

In this case the particle acts more like a wave of light. Where some will penetrate and go through the barrier(ie a piece of glass) and some will reflect (ie a piece of glass/mirror);

This article is reading way too much into a very elementary evaluation of Schrodinger equation.

	Reply	Report
buddba. dust at 07:47 DM on 11/20/08	Abuse	

Dear mrsaturn42,

Don't you know the new paradigm yet? Magazines and television no longer share knowledge, they entrap readers/ viewers just long enough for the advertisements to work. No one respects truth or rigorous exposition anymore, it is all about the advertising dollar. Like Pogo said long ago,

"We have met the enemy, and he is us." buddha\_dust@yahoo.com

	Reply   Report
• amoebadrew at 08:04 PM on 11/20/08	Abuse
The analogy of a ball rolling off a cliff to a particle encountering a drop in potential energy is noves off a cliff there is no discontinuity in the energy it has, it has to actually fall in order to to demonstrate quantum tunneling, you need an abrupt or discontinuous spatial change in er after encountering the barrier/anti-barrier the energy of the particle must change. A better an ball rolling onto a moving walkway (like those in airports). As soon as the ball hits the walkwak kinetic energy. (This isn't quite right either, but the idea is better). David Griffith's exercise mean reasoning, so I'm not sure why the article is incorrect.	not right at all. As a ball gain any energy. In contrast, nergy. Immediately alogy might be to consider a ay, it immediately gains entions this exact fallacy
	Reply   Report
• redfoxone at 08:06 PM on 11/20/08	Abuse
Whoah! Now that is pretty cool isnt it.	
http://www.privacy.mx.tc	
	Reply   Report
Ton Boon Too at 11:12 DM on 11/20/09	Abuse
Particles are particles. Objects are objects	
Particles are particles. Objects are objects.	
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed t What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible.	o be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed t What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Where comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? (btt1943@yahoo.com)	o be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed t What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Where comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? (btt1943@yahoo.com)	to be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what Reply   Report
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed to What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Where comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? (btt1943@yahoo.com) bunturbuff at 06:54 AM on 11/21/08	o be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what Reply   Report Abuse
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed to What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Where comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? (btt1943@yahoo.com) bunturbuff at 06:54 AM on 11/21/08 Amazing!	to be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what Reply   Report Abuse
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed to What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Where comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? //btt1943@yahoo.com) bunturbuff at 06:54 AM on 11/21/08 Amazing!	ro be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what Reply   Report Abuse
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed to What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Where comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? (btt1943@yahoo.com) bunturbuff at 06:54 AM on 11/21/08 Amazing!	o be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what Reply   Report Abuse Reply   Report Abuse
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed to What happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Where comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? (btt1943@yahoo.com) bunturbuff at 06:54 AM on 11/21/08 Amazing!	to be infinitely tiny and massless. Iy the opposite) to what Reply   Report Abuse Reply   Report Abuse 1-10   11-1
Particles are particles. Objects are objects. A particle is a theoretical concept (albeit an extremely useful one) in physics, it is supposed to Nhat happens to an object of a given mass in real life could be entirely different (if not exact happens to a particle in the quantum arena. They are incompatible. Nhere comes the weirdness? Where is the surprise? (btt1943@yahoo.com) <b>bunturbuff</b> at 06:54 AM on 11/21/08 Amazing!	to be infinitely tiny and massless. ly the opposite) to what Reply   Report Abuse Reply   Report Abuse 1-10   11-1





### LATEST STORIES

How to Do a Magic Trick

24 minutes ago

• A Field Guide to Bats 3 hours ago

• Winged Superlatives: The Ancient and Modern Diversity of Bats

3 hours ago

New computer model gives particle physics another

thumbs-up

11/21/08

• Obama's cell phone hacked, privacy issues murky 11/21/08

# LATEST VIDEOS



Previewing LA Auto Show

11/20/08



Elephant pregnant from frozen sperm 11/20/08



Walking house takes a stroll 11/20/08

## POPULAR STORIES

- A video game that's so real, it may make you vomit 11/18/08
- Pot joins the fight against Alzheimer's, memory loss 11/19/08
- New computer model gives particle physics another thumbs-up

11/21/08

- Obama's cell phone hacked, privacy issues murky 11/21/08
- Pygmy tarsier, a tiny primate, rediscovered in Indonesia 11/19/08

### FROM OUR PARTNERS

- Cellphone market gloom spreads to Samsung Reuters1 hour ago
- Scientists shed light on causes of epilepsy Reuters1 hour ago
- Harvard urges rich nations to cut emissions first Reuters1 hour ago
- Hundreds wait at Verizon stores for BlackBerry Storm Reuters1 hour ago
- Triple "Guild" play for Microsoft Reuters2 hours ago
- VIEW ALL

Powered by realmatch

Biostatistician Botanist Associate Directory of R... Management Forester Forester Research Assistant III Research Assistant III Networking Scientist Nuclear Physicist Systems Engineering Inte... Test Development Assoc... Alumni Records Manager More Jobs | Post a Job

Advertisement



ADVERTISEMENT



#### ALSO ON SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN



Red (Planet) Alert: Massive Subsurface Glaciers Discovered on Mars

• Site Map

. Contact Us

Products & Services

SciAm Marketplace



After the Crash: How Software Models Doomed the Markets



Pot joins the fight against Alzheimer's, memory loss



Art as Visual Research: 12 Examples

5

Follow Us Via...

Blog

**RSS** Feeds

E-mail Newsletters



Enceladus: Secrets of Saturn's Strangest Moon

Podcasts

Mobile

Widgets

### Information

- About Us
- Advertising
- Privacy Policy
- Terms of Use
- . Special Ad Sections

#### Publications

- Scientific American
- Scientific American Mind
- Scientific American Digital
- Special Editions and Reports
- International Editions



der Back Issues

I DACK ISSUES

@ 1996-2008 Scientific American Inc. All Rights Reserved. Reproduction in whole or in part without permission is prohibited.



http://www.sciam.com/article.cfm?id=new-quantum-weirdness (9 of 10) [24/11/2008 15:39:19]